Submissions on Enforcement Matters (SEM)



Robert Moyer, CEC Head, SEM Unit June 26, 2018

Overview of Presentation

- Short overview of Submissions Process and Facts about Submitters
- Status of Active Submissions
- Types of Issues Addressed in Submissions
- Analysis of Historical Submissions (Trends)
- Impacts of Submissions and Factual Records



What is a Submission?

- Filed by any individual or NGO
- Asserts that a Party is not effectively enforcing one of its environmental laws
- Secretariat reviews using NAAEC criteria
- After a party response, Secretariat determines whether to request a factual record. Do central open ?s remain?
- Council votes on whether to authorize a factual record



Submissions by Country

91 Submissions. Annual average of almost 4 per year.

Mexico: 47 Submissions (52%)

Canada: 31 Submissions (34%)

US: 12 Submissions (13%)

US/Canada 1 Submission (1%)



Who are the Submitters?

NGOs

55 (62%)

Individuals

17 (19%)

NGO/Individual

17 (19%)



Rates of Submissions

Period	Canada	US	Mexico
1994-2000	9	8	11
2001-2005	8	1	15
2006-2010	10	1	13
2011-2015	4	3	4
2016-2018	1	O	4



Active Submissions—2 in Mexico, 1 in Canada

- Mexico City Metrobús (environmental assessment)
- Alberta Tailings Ponds II (discharges into water)
- Agricultural Waste Burning in Sonora (clean air)
- Note: Transboundary Agricultural Burning (clean air) (filed by a US submitter) recently terminated.



Mexico





Canada









United States





Water Montreal Technoparc; Lake Chapala II; Pulp and Paper; BC

	Hydro; Río Magdalena	
Environmental	Cozumel; BC Mining; Aquanova;	5 Factual Records
Impact Assessment	Molymex II; Wetlands in	
	Manzanillo	
Air	Coal Fired Power Plants: Ouebec	2 Factual Records

Factual Records

Logging; Oldman River II; BC

7 Factual Records

Air

Coal Fired Power Plants; Quebec
Automobiles; Hermosilla

Hazardous Waste

Metales y Derivados; ALCAIztapalapa; Ex Hacienda El

Iztapalapa; Ex Hacienda El Hospital;

Natural Resources

Tarahumara; Sumidero Canyon

2 Factual Records

SEM

Factual Records by Country (22)

Canada (8)	Mexico (12)	United States (2)
BC Hydro	Cozumel	Migratory Birds
Oldman River II	Aquanova	Coal-fired Power Plants
BC Logging	Metales y Derivados	
BC Mining	Río Magdalena	
Pulp and Paper	Molymex II	
Ontario Logging I-II*	Tarahumara	
Montreal Technoparc	ALCA-Iztapalapa II	
Quebec Automobiles	Lake Chapala II	
	Environmental Pollution in Hermosillo II	
	Ex Hacienda El Hospital II-III*	
	Sumidero Canyon II	
	Wetlands in Manzanillo	



Positive SEM Impacts--General

- Transparency and Information sharing
- Public participation
- International remedy for any resident of North America
- Pressure on a party to take action
- One tool in the tool kit



Positive SEM Impacts--Specifics

- Mexico:
 - **□** Cozumel (coral reef preserved)
 - □ Lake Chapala (water quality improved)
 - Metales y Derivados (abandoned smelter cleaned up)



Positive SEM Impacts--Specifics

Canada

- BC Hydro Factual Record (better water use planning process implemented)
- Montreal Technoparc (framework for subsequent clean-up)



Positive SEM Impacts—Parties must respond

Municipal Wastewater Dropshafts (U.S.)

Alberta Tailings Ponds II—(Canada)

