

### **CEC Flood Costing Project**

### Third Virtual Expert Workshop

# Analysis and Synthesis Findings

Xin Wen, Ph.D. Pacific Water Research Centre, Simon Fraser University

25 March 2021



# Outline

### Results

□ Flood events characteristics (2013 - 2017)

□ Flood data characteristics (2013 - 2017)

### Discussion

□ Common features in flood cost damages

### Conclusions

**Results / Discussion / Conclusions** 



## Flood events characteristics (2013 - 2017)

#### 1. Large-scale impacts



Figure 1. Spatial distribution of sub-flood events across Canada, Mexico, and the United States, 2013 - 2017.

#### 22 significant flood events and 826 sub-flood events

- 8 flood events in Canada, affected **300** census divisions
- 7 flood events in Mexico, affected 321 municipalities
- 7 flood events in the U.S., affected 205 counties

#### Multiple driving force factors:

- Hurricanes
- Storm systems
- Rapid snowmelt
- ..



## Flood events characteristics (2013 - 2017)

#### 2. Significant economic damages



22 flood events:

Total CPI-adjusted damage was estimated approximately US\$17 billion

Figure 2. Total costs of flood events across Canada, Mexico, and the United States, 2013 – 2017 (Consumer price index(CPI)-adjusted). 2





#### 3. Border flooding / international flooding



2014 Michigan and Northeast flooding, the United States
 2016 Windsor and Tecumseh flooding, Ontario, Canada



Figure 3. Geographical locations of the 2011 Souris River flooding in Saskatchewan, Canada and North Dakota, the United States.

**Results / Discussion / Conclusions** 

## Flood events characteristics (2013 - 2017)

#### 4. Most severe damage on the metropolitan areas

Example:

Dwelling damages Toronto, Ontario: US\$ 355 million (CPI-adjusted, USD 2020)

2.7 million population (2016\*)



Figure 4. Spatial distribution of dwelling damages caused by flooding across Canada,Mexico, and the United States in 2013.4 of Page 25

\* The 2016 Census Program, Statistics Canada





## Flood data characteristics (2013 - 2017)

#### **1. Data availability by country and category:**

- The best completeness among the three countries: Mexico > the United States > Canada
- Data availability across the three countries: direct damages > additional cost > indirect damages

Table 1 The CEC flood-costing methodology data availability for each country

Country	Data available for the number of					
	Direct damage Indicators (total number =55)	Indirect damage Indicators (total number =15)	Additional cost Indicators (total number =35)	for total number of indicators		
Canada	3	1	1	5		
Ganada		•	· ·	<b>U</b>		
Mexico	[41 - 55]	8	[19 - 30]	[61 - 93]		
The United States	[8 - 11]	0	[2- 3]	[10 - 14]		



## Flood data characteristics (2013 - 2017)

- 2. Data availability by sector:
  - Housing sector is the most comprehensive of data available in the CEC flood damage categories.
  - All flood events have data on the damages of <u>household items, dwellings/properties</u>, and <u>temporary</u> <u>accommodation</u>.
  - Data for the cost of <u>commercial buildings and facilities</u> are also available for all three countries.



### Table 2 Flood direct damage data availability

A blue light represents data available for this indicator and a red light represents data unavailable for this indicator

Direct damage categories	Direct damage indicators		Data collection			
		Canada	Mexico	The United States		
House	Household items	0				
	Dwelling		$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$		
	Cleaning		$\bigcirc$			
Education	Building		$\bigcirc$			
	Classroom		$\bigcirc$			
	Cleaning		$\bigcirc$			
Health	Death toll		$\bigcirc$			
	Physical damage		0			
	Medical equipment					
Water and Sanitation	Storage tank		0			
	Distribution network / treatment plant		$\bigcirc$			
	Rebuilding		$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$		
Cultural Resources	Place of worship		$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$		
	Recreation area		$\bigcirc$			



### Table 2 Flood direct damage data (Continued)

A blue light represents data available for this indicator and a red light represents data unavailable for this indicator

Direct damage categories	Direct damage indicators	Data collection		Direct damage categories	Direct damage indicators	1	Data coll	lection	
		Canada	Mexico	The United States			Canada	Mexico	The United States
Cultural Resources	Sacred burial place		Agriculture		Agriculture	Road or bridge			
	Cultural artifact		0			Storage space			•
	Museum collection		0	•		Infrastructure used in farming			
	Culturally-relevant historic structure		0	•		Infrastructure used in livestock	•		•
	Damaged zone		Õ			Infrastructure used in poultry			•
Local Government/Community	Local infrastructure and services		0	0		Infrastructure used in private forestry	•		
Transportation	Railroad	ĕ	Õ	Ĭ		activity			
	Airport	ě	Ŏ	Ĭ.	Fisheries	Storage space			
	Port	ě	Ĭ.	ě	Manufacturing	Building and facility			
	Road		ă		Commerce	Machinery and equipment			
	Protection wall/dyke		ă			Inventory of goods			
	Restore the infrastructure		6			Building and facility			0
	Restore the services	Ĭ	ŏ			Machinery and equipment		0	•
Energy & Utilities	Power generation plant	ă 🕺				Inventory of goods		$\bigcirc$	•
	Substation	Ĭ	Ĭ		Tourism	Tourism area	•	0	•
	Transmission line and distribution grid	ě	ŏ			Property		0	•
	Dispatch center	ĕ	ŏ		Public Forest	Employee	•	0	•
Technology & Communications	Service tower	ă	Ĭ			Road or bridge		0	•
	Communication infrastructure	Ĭ	ă			Infrastructure used in the park		0	
		-			Environment	Erosion and sedimentation			
						Wildlife and aquatic species health			•
						Dispersal of nutrients and pollutants			
						Local landscapes and habitats			



### Table 3 Flood indirect damage data availability

A blue light represents data available for this indicator, a **red** light represents data unavailable for this indicator, and a yellow light indicates data partially fit with the CEC flood-costing indicators

Indirect damage categories	Indirect damage indicators	Data collection			
		Canada	Mexico	The United States	
House	House House rental				
Education	Missing workdays due to school closure				
Health	Patient				
	Workdays lost. Missing workdays due to psychological impacts, stress, and anxiety	•	•	•	
Local Government/Community	Workdays lost (Unemployment increases)				
Transportation	Transportation Loss of revenue at ports		0		
Energy & Utilities	Energy & Utilities Spills damage				
Technology & Communications	nology & Communications Revenue (manufacturing)		0		
	Revenue (commerce)				
Public infrastructure Non-market value of public space			$\bigcirc$		
Manufacturing	R&D impacts	•			
	Loss of wages, including temporary jobs	•			
Commerce Credit. Decreased credit scores and bond downgrades for businesses		0	•		
Tourism Loss of wages					
Public Forest Workday lost			$\bigcirc$		



### Table 4 Flood losses and additional cost data availability

A blue light represents data available for this indicator and a red light represents data unavailable for this indicator

Losses & Additional Costs	Losses & Additional cost indicators	Data collection			
		Canada	Mexico	The United States	
House	Temporary accommodation		0	•	
	Relocation		$\bigcirc$		
Education	Temporary classroom	•	0		
	Reset service		0		
Health	Post-disaster epidemic	•	0		
	Hospital-related costs		$\bigcirc$		
	Structure-related costs		$\bigcirc$		
Water and Sanitation	Temporary water needs				
Cultural Resources	Revenue (cultural resources) Loss of		0		
	revenue to religious/cultural organizations		-	-	
	Recreation. Loss of recreation services		0		
	(non-market values)		_	-	
Local Government/Community	Revenue				
	Loans and bonds				
	GDP				
Transportation	Cost for transporting freight		0		
	Loss of tolls				
	Cost for passengers				
	Additional costs for crews		0		



### Table 4 Flood losses and additional cost data availability (continued)

A blue light represents data available for this indicator and a red light represents data unavailable for this indicator

Losses & Additional Costs	Losses & Additional cost indicators	Data collection			
		Canada	Mexico	The United States	
Energy & Utilities	Revenue		0		
	Rehabilitation/ reconstruction		0	•	
Public infrastructure	Cleaning		0	0	
	Rescheduling public events' costs		0	•	
Agriculture	Market value of crop		0	0	
	Income	•	0	•	
	Market value of livestock	•	0		
	Market value of poultry	•	0	•	
	Market value of private forest product		$\bigcirc$		
Fisheries	Market value of fish			•	
	Market value of crustaceans		0	•	
	Income	•	0	•	
Tourism	Service flow		0	•	
Public Forest	Market value	•	0		
	Transporting the wounded or other				
Emergency Response	emergency evacuations				
	Equipment		$\bigcirc$		
	Temporary shelters		$\bigcirc$		
	Search for people		$\bigcirc$	•	





• Flood cost data in Mexico are comprehensive, closely matching the CEC flood-costing methodology



More participation is needed from actors in local and Indigenous communities (Canada and U.S.), to strengthen Mexico's assessment of studies.
 13 of Page 25



 Most of the records from Canada and the United States far from exhaustively populate the defined CEC flood cost categories.



**Results / Discussion / Conclusions** 



## **Common features in flood costs damages**

#### Flood cost data in Canada

The CEC- Canadian database:

Catastrophe Indices and Quantification Inc. (CatIQ) insured data Other data source:

Canadian Disaster Database (CDD) Provincial Disaster Assistance Program (PDAP)

#### CDD (2013 – 2017): open-access

o 22 flood events

- o Only describe a total cost
- Data availability:12 out of the 22 events

#### PDAP (2013 – 2017): requested

- o Saskatchewan PDAP
- o New Brunswick PDAP





- o Multiple flood events recorded by different agencies for the same period
- Multiple data source for one flood event (Canada and U.S.)

Table 5 Comparison between CDD event estimate and CatIQ event estimate

No.	Flood events	CDD Estimated Total Cost	Federal DFAA Payments	Provincial Department Payments	Insurance Payments	CatlQ Estimated Total Cost
1	Windsor, ON, 2017	\$173,000,000	of all soon to be to	- Calledate I Marcologica	\$173,000,000	
2	Eastern Canada, 2017	\$116,000,000			\$116,000,000	\$113,866,000
3	Nova Scotia, Prince Edward Island and Newfoundland, 2017	\$30,350,000	\$30,350,000			\$104,179,000
4	Windsor and Tecumseh ON, 2016					\$153,461,000
5	Fort McMurray AB, 2016	\$462,528,000			\$462,528,000	\$422,368,000 🖕
6	Kenora ON, 2016					\$14,910,000
7	Northeastern British Columbia, 2016	\$65,132,000	\$65,132,000			
8	Southern Manitoba, 2014	\$1,164,679,000	\$164,679,000		\$1,000,000,000	\$15,528,000
9	Southern Saskatchewan, 2014	\$19,387,000	\$19,387,000			\$105,253,000
10	New Brunswick, 2014	\$29,603,000	\$13,603,000	\$16,000,000		
11	Southern Quebec, 2014	\$13,064,000	\$13,064,000			
12	Toronto ON, 2013	\$940,000,000			\$940,000,000	\$889,695,000
13	Southern Alberta, 2013	\$2,715,742,000	\$1,015,742,000		\$1,700,000,000	\$1,541,691,000
14	Cumberland House SK, 2013	\$43,309,000	\$43,309,000			
	Total cost (local cost)	\$5,772,794,000				\$3,360,951,000

Prairie Long Weekend Severe Storms, CatlQ, excluded data from SK and MB



Prairie & Northern Ontario Severe Storms, CatIQ, excluded data from SK and MB



### Multiple data source for one flood event (Canada and U.S.)

Saskatchewan PDAP event estimate: 2014 Heavy Rain June

Claim Category	Actual Paid
1 Boards / Cooperatives	\$239,781
2 Charitable Organization	\$726,715
3 Displacement/ Temp Relocation	\$538,820
4 First nations	\$415,790
5 Municipal	\$39,981,080
6 Other	\$303,472
7 Primary Agricultural Enterprise	\$3,756,861
8 Principal Residence	\$18,808,681
9 Regional Park Authority	\$1,449,567
10 Renter	\$268,405
11 Small Business	\$1,217,921
Total cost (local cost)	\$67,707,092

Three types of cost data for 2014 Southern Saskatchewan:

CatIQ, CDD, and Saskatchewan PDAP

U.S. multiple data source for one flood event: (for example)

2013 Colorado flooding, household item damage data offered by FEMA and Small Business Administration (SBA) (Integrated)





• Geographic and spatial differences for the same flood event (Canada and U.S.)



Figure 5. The example of geographic and spatial differences for the same flood event (June 2014 Saskatchewan flooding).



Table 6 Comparison between Saskatchewan PDAP claim category and the CEC flood-costing sector

Saskatchewan Provincial Disaster Assistance Program (PDAP, SK) claim category for the 2014 Heavy Rain	PDAP, SK claim category possibly link to flood-costing indicator
	nood oooting maloutor
Boards / Cooperatives	N/A
Charitable Organization	N/A
Displacement/ Temp Relocation	Temporary accommodation costs
Municipal	Local infrastructure and services
Primary Agricultural Enterprise	Storage space
	Infrastructure used in farming
	Infrastructure used in livestock
	Infrastructure used in poultry
	Infrastructure used in private forestry activity
Principal Residence	Dwelling
Regional Park Authority	Tourism area
Renter	House rental
Small Business	Building and facility
	Machinery and equipment
	□ Inventory of goods

**Results / Discussion / Conclusions** 



### **Common features in flood costs damages**

• Flood cost data in the United States

The CEC- U.S. database:

Federal government-generated open-access datasets

FEMA-based products, NOAA, SBA, and USDA

Other data source:

State, local, or tribal governments, non-governmental organizations, or private entity source





• Flood cost estimates in the CEC-U.S. (and Canada) database are conservative due to unrecorded data

Table 7 Comparison between NOAA event estimate and CEC flood-costing project event estimate

U.S. Flood events (2013-2017)	NOAA event estimate	CEC flood-costing project event estimate	
	(CPI-adjusted price, USD, 2020)	(CPI-adjusted price, USD 2020)	
2013, Colorado flooding, USA	\$1.7 billion	\$674 million	
2014, Michigan and Northeast flooding	\$1.1 billion	\$188 million	
2015, South Carolina and east coast flooding	\$2.2 billion	\$518 million	
2016, Texas and Louisiana flooding	\$2.5 billion	\$662 million	
2016, Houston flooding	\$2.9 billion	\$667 million	
2016, Louisiana flooding	\$11 billion	\$5.18 billion	
2017, California flooding	\$1.6 billion	\$210 million	

Data sources: NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information (NCEI) U.S. Billion-Dollar Weather & Climate Disasters 1980-2020 (2020). Retrieved from https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/billions/. 21 of Page 25



• Flood economic damage data are unevenly collected by categories/sectors (U.S. and Mexico)





### Flood damages and costs:

- 1. Significant economic damages and losses
- 2. Metropolitan areas: worst-hit region

### Flood data availability and accessibility:

- 1. By country: Mexico > the United States > Canada
- 2. By category: direct damages > additional losses > indirect effects
- 3. Data accessibility: : Mexico and the United States > Canada
- 4. Data at the municipal / county level accessibility: Mexico and the United States > Canada
- 5. Most comprehensive of data available sector/indicator: house sector and commercial building indicator



**Re-cap** 

## **Common challenges**

- Spatial and temporal scale mismatch
  - Incomplete data
    - E.g., missing indicators
  - Ensure no double counting
  - Lack of attribution to particular flood event
  - Difficulty in disentangling flood losses from other disaster losses
    - E.g., Loss from hurricane wind or rain?



### Conclusions

- 1. Flooding causes significant damages and losses across Canada, Mexico, and the United States.
- 2. Economic cost data for flooding in Mexico are comprehensive, closely matching the CEC flood-costing methodology developed under this project.
- Top-down: Mexico, a single agency devoted to disasters data collection, centralized and comprehensive data.
  Bottom-up: Canada and U.S., multiple agencies, jurisdictions, and scales, complicated.
- 4. Flood economic damage data are unevenly collected by sectors/categories.
- 5. Data from Indigenous communities are missing for many events.



### **CEC Flood Costing Project**

Third Virtual Expert Workshop

# Thank you for your attention







