

**Bruce Bigham**

**Retired physicist  
Deep River, Canada**

I have been disappointed that the CEC has not been able to develop a rational plan for the use of many chemicals instead of promoting 'bans' based on flimsy evidence - for example the use of DDT to eradicate malaria.

Is your 'low carbon' plan based on solid evidence? I think a good case can be made for the idea that bringing sequestered carbon back into circulation by burning coal may be the only good thing we are doing for the planet! There is some evidence that global plant growth is already increasing.

I see no mention that there is all the energy we need available from uranium fission and it's use is the most environmentally friendly choice we have. Having ample energy available widens the horizon of opportunity for improving our environment. CEC could help by reducing the regulatory barriers. In general - please look carefully at the basis for your actions.